



National Weather Service
Alaska - Pacific
River Forecast Center



[HOME](#)
[NWS Site Map](#)
[National News](#)
[Organization](#)

[Search](#)

Local Forecasts
by City, State, Zip

City, State



ICE BREAKUP RECONNAISSANCE IN ALASKA

Rivers & Hydrology

River conditions
Forecasts & Info
Quick Briefing
48hr Flood Pot
5-Day Flood
Outlook

Nat'l AHPS page

Precip & Weather

Observed Precip
Precip Analysis
Forecast Precip
AK Snow Maps
Nat'l Snow Info
Wx Forecasts
Observations
Radar
Satellite
Data in Google
Maps

Breakup & Ice

Quick Briefing
Breakup Map
Breakup
Summary
Ice Maps
Freezing DD
Thawing DD
More...

Climate & History

River Database
Drought Info
Predictions
Diagnostics

Additional Info

Related Links
Observer forms
Newsletter
Jokulhlaups
More...

About the APRFC

Contact Us
Mission
Staff



The mission of the Alaska-Pacific River Forecast Center (APRFC) is to provide watches and warnings for flooding along all streams in Alaska. The APRFC was formed following a major flood in Fairbanks in August 1967 caused by extended precipitation in the Chena River basin. In addition to floods caused by rainfall or snowmelt, a common cause of flooding in Alaska is breakup ice jams.

The APRFC has monitored breakup of rivers throughout Alaska for over three decades using two primary sources of information: 1) field reconnaissance and 2) observational network. During the past decade, satellite images have become an additional resource for breakup information. The information collected during the field aerial reconnaissance significantly supplements the information obtained from the ground observational network by telephone and internet reports. The observational network is being expanded through the River Watch Program to include information from pilots reported on pilot reports (PIREPs) or with emailed digital photographs.

The field reconnaissance is conducted in cooperation with the Alaska Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management (ADHSEM), which is the agency responsible for the overall direction of the State of Alaska's flood preparedness, response, and recovery program. Typical information obtained during aerial reconnaissance includes the timing of stage crest at a village, a subjective assessment of the likelihood of ice jamming, and breakup activity up or down the river. This information is included in formal flood watches and warnings, as well as communicated directly to village officials by the field staff. The role of ADHSEM during breakup is to promote mitigative activities by local residents in flood-prone villages prior to ice breakup and to be on hand for immediate emergency coordination if a village is flooded.

FIELD RECONNAISSANCE

Staff personnel from ADHSEM and APRFC fly over major rivers to observe the condition of the ice and assess the potential for ice jams. The primary aerial scope of coverage is the entire length of the Yukon River in Alaska and the middle and lower Kuskokwim River. These rivers have been found to have the greatest likelihood for ice jam flooding. Other rivers may be included in the aerial reconnaissance if ground observations identify a flood threat. Observations from other pilots are important supplements to our limited scope of coverage.

A river watch team typically consists of one ADHSEM emergency management officer, one APRFC hydrologist, and a pilot. Extra staff from ADHSEM or APRFC may be included for additional support or for training.

The team conducts overflights daily in the reach of river experiencing the most breakup activity. During the overflight, the team makes notes on the categorized strength of the ice in reaches denoted by river miles. Strength categories range from rotten, dark, candled, weak ice to hard, blue-green, strong ice, and are qualitatively assessed. Other observations include ice characteristics such as the degree of fracturing and ice movement. Water levels are also assessed by estimating freeboard to flood levels at villages or feet below bankfull level, area and depth of overbank water, and rising or falling tendency.

In addition to the overflight, the field crew lands at villages along the rivers to brief the local authorities on the status of breakup and the threat to the village. The field hydrologist telephones the APRFC office to report the observations.

OBSERVATIONAL NETWORK

Ice thickness measurements are collected monthly through the winter at 15 to 20 river or lake locations in Alaska. The measurements are taken in approximately the same location each year so that current year thicknesses can be compared to previous years.

The APRFC maintains a network of about 50 river observers throughout Alaska that measure and report river water levels during the open water season. These observers also monitor breakup at their location and call the APRFC with their observations and water level measurements. Staff in the APRFC also calls individuals in other villages along the river to ask about breakup status at those locations. Pilots are also requested to observe ice conditions during their flights and report on the conditions following the flight.

BREAKUP OBSERVATIONS

A significant Alaskan breakup process is that associated with large single channel rivers in interior and northern Alaska, such as the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers. This process is very dynamic and is very threatening to streamside villages due to ice jam flood potential.

Ice conditions prior to and in early stages of breakup

Following the initial snowmelt period and initiation of ice decay, further snowmelt at low elevations in the basin will cause runoff to flow onto the ice. Some of this runoff will pond on top of the ice, while the remainder drains into the river channel through holes or cracks in the ice sheet. Strength categories range from [rotten](#), [dark](#), [candled](#), [weak ice \(see image\)](#) to hard, blue-green, strong ice, and are qualitatively assessed. Rotten ice often forms a condition called [candled ice \(see image\)](#). Continued increasing flow in the channel will generate increasing pressure on the underside of the ice. An early indication of this increased pressure is [arching \(see image\)](#), where the central portion of the ice sheet is lifted while the edges of the sheet remain firmly attached to the banks; ponded runoff will concentrate in channels along the banks while the center of the ice sheet is dry.

Additional pressure on the underside of the ice due to increasing flow and weakening of the ice near the edges will cause 1) the ice that is frozen to the banks to break free or 2) the ice sheet to break away from the bank ice. The ice sheet will float on the rising water levels. Since water levels during the fall freezeup period are typically low, rising water levels in the spring will result in a wider channel with a relatively narrow strip of main channel ice floating above the deeper portion of the channel. Further weakening of the ice and continued force of the river current on the sheet will eventually cause the ice sheet to fracture along the banks, [float on the rising water level \(lifting\) \(see image\)](#), and [shift in the open water \(see image\)](#), resulting in the first movement of the ice. Most observers would record this shifting as the date of first ice movement. At locations with ice breakup contests that are terminated by the movement of a tripod on the ice, this first movement may be sufficient to end the contest and be called "breakup" even though ice sheets still remain in front of the town.

It is not uncommon for the channel to be sufficiently wide that ice sheets break apart, turn sideways in the channel, and drift downstream to accumulate some distance away. An observer on the ground would likely conclude that the ice had broken up and that boating was possible on the river, even though the major breakup activity had not yet begun. Local residents often begin boating within the open reach of river at this stage of the breakup process. Even if this local shifting has taken place, the primary breakup process that holds the greatest threat of flooding has not yet passed this location.

Moving ice characteristics

The primary breakup process often begins in the upper reaches of the river, although intermediate breakup fronts may be initiated at other points along the river. Movement of the ice sheets is initiated when increased flow in the river and increased local stream gradient due to the resistance of the ice sheets floating on the surface combine to increase the drag on the ice sheet to a level sufficient to overcome the forces holding the ice in place. The moving ice sheets reduce in size primarily by physical impact, although ice continues to melt as it moves downstream. Ice sheets break into smaller ice pans. Pans impacting other pans break apart to reduce the size to chunks.

The breakup front is the interface between stationary and moving ice sheets. Upstream of the breakup front is typically 1) a reach of large moving sheets, 2) a reach of mixed broken sheets, [large and small pans \(see image\)](#), and chunks, and 3) [a reach of mostly chunks \(see image\)](#). The total length of moving ice upstream of the breakup front can be many miles, especially on large rivers such as the Yukon River, where a run of ice 10 to 20 miles long is not uncommon.

Ice jam formation and release -

When the breakup front meets strong ice, the moving ice stops at this jam point. The ice stoppage continues in the upstream direction. The severity of the water level rise associated with the ice stoppage is directly related to

the flow velocity under the ice cover (Beltaos, 1990). Other causes for ice jamming include [a bend in the river \(see image\)](#), [a bend and constriction in the river \(see image\)](#), [a constriction in the river channel \(see image\)](#), an island or gravel bar, or other obstructions. If a jam forms in one channel of a [multi-channel reach \(see image\)](#), flooding is not likely as long as the other channels continue to run.

In years that have low discharges during breakup, it has been observed that the ice run behind the breakup front loses momentum such that very weak ice may be sufficient to cause the ice to stop running. The resulting jam is a surface jam, where ice accumulates on the surface upstream of the jam point. Water levels upstream of such jams increase due to the stopped ice that increases the hydraulic resistance in the channel. Only minor flooding of upstream villages is typical associated with this type of jam. Once the head on the jam builds sufficiently to initiate movement, the breakup front again progresses downstream.


In years with greater runoff, the discharge and velocity will be larger. Stronger ice is required to stop the breakup front during these years. When the ice stops, chunk ice from upstream often submerges under the stopped ice to form a thickened jam or hanging dam. Water levels upstream of such jams increase rapidly and often cause [major flooding in upstream villages \(see image\)](#). Flooding can occur to villages [within the reach of jammed ice \(see image\)](#) or [miles upstream of the jammed ice \(see image\)](#). Overbank flooding has been observed to extend for [many miles away from the river \(see image\)](#), along certain reaches of the Yukon River.

The field team estimates the depth of overbank water and the head of water backed up by the ice jam. The release of the jam is similar to a dam break, and the increase in stage downstream, excluding ice resistance affects, is estimated to be one half of the head on the jam. The effects of the ice will often cause the water level to be greater and water levels may remain at near bankfull levels in the vicinity of the breakup front many miles down river. Beltaos (1990) presents equations for the surge celerity and the surging water velocity following the release of an ice jam. Observations of surge celerity ranging from 15 to 20 mph following ice jam releases during the 1994 breakup confirm the relatively high surge celerity values that would be computed using the Beltaos equations. Several ice jams in 1994 held for extended periods, during which downstream ice had the chance to rot enough that the surge initiated ice movement. Surge celerity was estimated from calculating the rate of movement of the breakup front. In some years, strong ice downstream would resist movement by the surge; the surge would pass beneath the ice making the celerity difficult to observe and compute.

REFERENCE CITED

Beltaos, S. 1990. Breakup Jams. In Cold Regions Hydrology and Hydraulics. Ryan, W.L. and R.D. Crissman, Eds. Published by American Society of Civil Engineers, pp 485-515.

[Back to River Watch Program Home Page](#)

National Weather Service
Alaska-Pacific River Forecast Center
6930 Sand Lake Road
Anchorage, Ak 99502
907-266-5160 

[Contact Us](#)

Page Modified: 29 Dec 2005 18:00 UTC

[About Us](#)
[Career Opportunities](#)
[Glossary](#)

[Credits](#)
[Disclaimer](#)
[Privacy Policy](#) 